UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,537	01/04/2002	Dietrich W. Schultz	6067-46200	9387
	7590 04/12/201 C. / ADOBE SYSTEM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 398		VAUGHN, GREGORY J		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2178		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent\_docketing@intprop.com ptomhkkg@gmail.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/039,537	SCHULTZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	GREGORY J. VAUGHN	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI ).	g date of the final rejection	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); eer form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178	/Gregory J. Vaughn/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claimed subject matter is unpatentable over the cited prior art of record. Applicant argues that: "Bernardo and Ackerman do not disclose changing a location for publishing a document containing a relative URL, and do not disclose modifying a relative URL in a document to correspond to the second location for publishing the document containing the modified relative URL" (page 6, last paragraph of the response filed 3/8/2010). As noted in the office action dated 1/7/2010, the examiner is relying on Bernardo to show web document creation at a plurality of interfaces by combining a template with content, and publishing the created document. Bernardo discloses the created web document may have URLs (column 10, lines 45-48) and modifying the created web document and subsequently re-publishing the modified document (column 23, lines 3-14).

Bernardo fails to describe maintaining URLs or a second location. Ackerman is relied upon to show updating or maintaining URLs when the resource the URL points to is moved to a second location (column 1, lines 58-61). As noted in the office action dated 1/7/2010, Ackerman discloses identifying a URL, identifying a new URL based on a new location, modifying the document with the new URL, and publishing the modified document. Although Ackerman shows updating the URL when the resource location moves, Ackerman is directed toward maintaining the URL for a "target page"; and fails to disclose relative (or "same page") URLs. Smith teaches maintaining URLs that are relative, as noted in the office action dated 1/7/2010. Smith discloses maintaining relative URLs when the web site pages are moved. Smith recites: "Microsoft Corporation's FRONTPAGE.TM. maintains lists of links within a currently authored web site, and ensures that when pages are moved, the links to the moved pages that are located in other pages within the same web site are updated" (column 7, lines 43-47). Motivation to combine these references is stated in the office action dated 1/7/2010.